FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Forensic Science International: Genetics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fsig



Correspondence

Genetic distribution of 39 STR loci in 1027 unrelated Han individuals from Northern China



Dear Editor,

The Han Ethnic Group is the largest among the 56 ethnic groups in China, accounting for 92% of the total population. The Han people are found in all parts of the country. One study showed that the Han Chinese population was actually substructured in a complex manner, with the main observed clusters roughly corresponding to the Northern-Han, Central-Han, and Southern-Han populations [1]. In the present study, population genetic data and forensic parameters of 39 autosomal short tandem repeats (STRs) were obtained from 1027 unrelated Chinese Han individuals residing in Northern China (Hebei, Henan, and Shanxi provinces) (Fig. S1).

Supplementary material related to this article found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.019.

Bloodstain samples of 1027 (male 506, female 521) unrelated healthy individuals were collected after obtaining informed consent. DNA was extracted using the Chelex-100 protocol [2]. The quantity of recovered DNA was determined by Qubit® Quantitation System (Invitrogen, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's specifications. DNA samples were amplified using two kits: MicroreaderTM 21 ID system (Microread Genetics Incorporation, China), which included Amelogenin and 20 autosomal loci (i.e., CSF1PO, D12S391, D13S317, D16S539, D18S51, D19S433, D21S11, D2S1338, D2S441, D3S1358, D5S818, D6S1043, D7S82, D8S1179, FGA, Penta D, Penta E, THO1, TPOX, vWA), and MicroreaderTM 23sp ID system, which included Amelogenin and 22 autosomal loci (i.e., D6S477, D18S535, D19S253, D15S659, D11S2368, D20S470, D1S1656, D22-GATA198B05, D8S1132, D4S2366, D21S1270, D13S325, D9S925, D3S3045, D14S608, D10S1435, D12S391, D7S3048, D17S1290, D5S2500, D2S1338, D16S539). Both kits contain D12S391, D16S539, and D2S1338, which could be used for sample concordance. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted with the GeneAmp PCR System 9700 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Amplified products were separated by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI PRISM 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Data were analyzed using Genemapper ID 3.2 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Allelic frequencies and forensic parameters were evaluated using Powerstats version 1.2 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Hardy—Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) of each locus and the linkage disequilibrium (LD) for all pair-wise STR loci were tested using the Genepop Version 4.2 software package (http://genepop.curtin.edu.au). To estimate the inter-population differentiation between the

Chinese Northern Han population and 12 other reference populations with 13 CODIS STR loci overlapping with those used in our study were selected, including nine Chinese Han populations residing in different regions of China (ZhejiangHan, JiangsuHan, GuangdongHan, NeimengguHan, HunanHan, JilinHan, LiaoningHan, SichuanHan, and YunanHan) [3–11] and three Chinese ethnic minority groups (Miao, Uigur, and Tibet) [12–14]. A principal components analysis (PCA) was performed in MATLAB 2007a (MathWorks Inc.) based on allele frequencies. The locus-bylocus Fst and associated P-values were calculated using the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) with ARLEQUIN v3.1 software (http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/arlequin3).

Allele frequencies and forensic statistics of each locus are shown in Table S1. All loci were found to be polymorphic. Among the 39 loci, Penta E showed the highest observed heterozygosity (Ho), discrimination power (DP), and probability of paternity exclusion in trios [PE(T)], with values of 0.9182, 0.9876, and 0.8327, respectively. TPOX showed the lowest Ho, DP and PE(T), which was 0.6212, 0.8017 and 0.3171, respectively. The cumulative match probability was approximately 4.7539×10^{-48} . Deviations from HWE were detected at D2S441 (p=0.0349). However, after Bonferroni correction (i.e., p-value=0.05/39=0.00128), no locus showed significant deviations from HWE.

Supplementary material related to this article found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.019.

Thirty-six pairs of loci showed significant (p < 0.05) LD among 741 pairwise comparisons (Table S2). After Bonferroni correction (p-value = 0.05/741 = 0.0000675), only the pair of Penta E and D13S325 still showed significant LD (p-value = 0.000004). This result may be due to a sampling artifact, since these two loci are not on the same chromosome. Therefore, these 39 loci could be treated as independent loci at the population level. The PCA results are shown in Fig. S2. The first component explained 24.69% of the total variation and the second explained 12.05% of the overall variation. The results showed that the Northern Han, JilinHan, LiaoningHan, Neimengguhan, and Uigur populations clustered in the upper part, which was consistent with the fact that they all geographically belong to Northern China. The ZhejiangHan, JiangsuHan, HuannanHan, SichuanHan, GuangdongHan, Yunnan-Han, Miao, and Tibetan populations clustered in the lower part, which is consistent with their geographical location in Southern China. The present result is consistent with previous studies of other genetic markers [15].

Supplementary material related to this article found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.019.

The result of single-locus Fst and p-values between the Northern Han and 12 reference populations are shown in Table S3. Relatively more differences were found between the Northern Han and three ethnic minority groups, after Bonferroni's correction (p-value = 0.05/13 = 0.0038), which had differences in 5

loci with the Uigur, 3 loci with the Miao and 2 loci with the Tibetan populations, respectively. On the other hand, except for the Sichuanhan population at the D7S820 locus, there were no differences observed between the Northern Han and the other 9 Chinese Han populations at the 13 CODIS loci. This suggested that Han populations residing in different regions of China are more closely related to each other than they are to Chinese ethnic minority groups.

Supplementary material related to this article found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2015.07.019.

We strictly followed the International Society for Forensic Genetics (ISFG) recommendations for the analysis of DNA polymorphisms and nomenclature [16] and the guidelines for publication of population data requested by the journal [17]. The DNA typing was performed at the laboratory, which was accredited according to the ISO 17,025 standard. The certification of approval by the China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment (CNAS) was No. CNAS L0812.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, 81172909 and 81330073).

References

- [1] S. Xu, X. Yin, S. Li, W. Jin, H. Lou, L. Yang, X. Gong, H. Wang, Y. Shen, X. Pan, Y. He, Y. Yang, Y. Wang, W. Fu, Y. An, J. Wang, J. Tan, J. Qian, X. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. Sun, X. Zhang, B. Wu, L. Jin, Genomic dissection of population substructure of Han Chinese and its implication in association studies, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 85 (2009) 762–774.
- [2] P.S. Walsh, D.A. Metzger, R. Higuchi, Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material, Biotechniques 10 (1991) 506–513.
- [3] Y. Zhu, S. Lu, Z. Xie, Y. Chen, J. You, Genetic analysis of 15 STR loci in the population of Zhejiang Province (Southeast China), Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 3 (2009) e139–e140.
- [4] C. Yin, Q. Ji, K. Li, H. Mu, B. Zhu, J. Yan, Y. Yu, J. Wang, F. Chen, Analysis of 19 STR loci reveals genetic characteristic of eastern Chinese Han population, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 14 (2015) 108–109.
- [5] S.P. Hu, X.J. Yu, J.W. Liu, K.L. Cai, Analysis of STR polymorphisms in the Chao Shan population in South China, Forensic Sci. Int. 147 (2005) 93–95.
- [6] J. Wang, Q. Zhong, Z. Zhai, H. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, Population genetic data of 19 autosomal STR loci in inner mongolia Han of China, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 30 (2015) 82–84.
- [7] Y.F. Chang, J.F. Wen, L. Yang, J.F. Cai, S.M. Aly, Q.L. Liu, Y.D. Guo, Y. Gu, Genetic polymorphism of 17 STR loci in Chinese population from Hunan province in central south China, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 6 (2012) e151–e153.
- [8] B. Yang, G. Wang, Y. Liu, W. Yang, Population data for the AmpF\(\ell\) STR[®] IdentifilerTM PCR amplification kit in China Han in Jilin Province, China. Forensic Sci. Int. 151 (2005) 293–297.
- [9] G. Hou, X. Jiang, Y.F. Wang, Q. Li, H. Sun, Genetic distribution on 15 STR loci from a Han population of Shenyang region in northeast China, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 7 (2013) e86–e87.
- [10] H. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Jiang, J. Zhang, J. Wu, H. Du, J. Yan, Y. Shen, Y. Hou, Analysis of 15 STR loci in Chinese population from Sichuan in West China, Forensic Sci. Int. 171 (2007) 222–225.
- [11] S. Nie, J. Yao, H. Yan, Y. Yang, T. Gu, W. Tang, W. Li, B. Wang, C. Xiao, Genetic data of 15 STR loci in Chinese Yunnan Han population, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 3 (2008) e1–e3.
- [12] C. Liu, C. Liu, H. Wang, STR data for the 15 loci from three minority populations in Guangxi municipality in South China, Forensic Sci. Int. 162 (2006) 49–52.
- [13] J.G. Chen, H.W. Pu, Y. Chen, H.J. Chen, R. Ma, S.T. Xie, L.P. Zhang, Population genetic data of 15 autosomal STR loci in Uygur ethnic group of China, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 6 (2012) e178–e179.
- [14] L. Kang, S. Li, Allele frequencies of 15 STR loci of Luoba ethnic group in Tibet (Southwestern China), Forensic Sci. Int. 155 (2005) 219–221.
- [15] B. Wen, H. Li, D. Lu, X. Song, F. Zhang, Y. He, F. Li, Y. Gao, X. Mao, L. Zhang, J. Qian, J. Tan, J. Jin, W. Huang, R. Deka, B. Su, R. Chakraborty, L. Jin, Genetic evidence supports demic diffusion of Han culture, Nature 431 (2004) 302–305.
- [16] B. Olaisen, W. Bär, B. Brinkmann, B. Budowle, A. Carracedo, P. Gill, P. Lincoln, W. R. Mayr, S. Rand, DNA recommendations 1997 of the international society for forensic genetics, Vox Sang. 74 (1998) 61–63.

[17] A. Carracedo, J.M. Butler, L. Gusma⁻o, A. Linacre, W. Parson, L. Roewer, P.M. Schneider, Update of the guidelines for the publication of genetic population data, Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. 10 (2014) A1–A2.

Bingbing Xie^{a,b,1}

^aCAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

^bUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Liang Chei

Crime Science & Technology Research center of Qinghai Public Security Department, Qinghai 810001, China

Yaran Yang

CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Yuexin Lv

Beijing Microread Genetics Co., Ltd, Beijing 100044, China

Jing Chei

CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Yan Shi

Beijing Tongda Shoucheng Institute of Forensic Science, Beijing 100192, China

Chong Chen

Beijing Tongda Shoucheng Institute of Forensic Science, Beijing 100192, China

Hongyu Zhao

Beijing Microread Genetics Co., Ltd, Beijing 100044, China

Zailiang Yu

Beijing Microread Genetics Co., Ltd, Beijing 100044, China

Yacheng Liu

Beijing Tongda Shoucheng Institute of Forensic Science, Beijing 100192, China

Xiangdong Fang

CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101,
China

Jiangwei Yan*

CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101,

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author at: Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences No.1-104 Beichen West Road, Chaoyang, Beijing 100101, China. Fax: +86 10 8409 7720. E-mail address: yanjw@big.ac.cn (J. Yan).

> Received 12 May 2015 Received in revised form 26 July 2015 Accepted 28 July 2015